

LAW FIRM



Employment Law Health Check

Lorraine Smyth

© ByrneWallace LLP





1. Sick pay changes and assessing compliance

- The entitlement to paid sick leave under the Sick Leave Act 2022 increased from 3 days to 5 days per year from 1 January 2024.
- Sick pay is paid at 70% of the employee's normal pay up to a maximum of €110 a day.
- This is the second stage of a 4-year plan which will see employer-paid sick leave rise to 10 days in 2026.

BYRNE WALLACE

Karolina Leszczynska v Musgrave Operating Partners Ltd ADJ-00044889 (23/09/2023)

- The Complainant: Absent due to illness for 4 days in 2023. Claimed entitlement to statutory sick pay as provided for at section 5 of the Act of 2022.
- The Respondent's sick pay scheme: Eight weeks' paid sick leave per 12 months. But, the first 3 days of absence = "waiting days" and are unpaid.
- The Respondent argued:
 - its scheme provided a greater level of benefit compared to statutory sick leave, and
 - section 8 of the Act of 2022 permits an employer to substitute a more favourable sick pay scheme for the terms of statutory sick leave.

BYRNE WALLACE

Karolina Leszczynska v Musgrave Operating Partners Ltd ADJ-00044889

- AO: Preamble of the Act of 2022, states that its purpose is "... to provide that employees shall, subject to certain conditions, be entitled to up to and including 3 statutory leave days[.]"
- In comparing the statutory scheme to the Employer's, the AO held that:

"the duration of paid sick leave in the employer's scheme, the amount of sick pay, the 26 weeks' service requirement and the three-day waiting period combine to provide benefits that, on the whole, are more favourable to employees than the benefits provided in the Act."

Karolina Leszczynska v Musgrave Operating Partners Ltd ADJ-00044889

- AO: Respondent's policy of not paying sick pay for the first 3 days of absence was a disadvantage for an employee who is absent for a maximum of three days once in 12 months, but this was outweighed by the combined benefits of the Respondent's scheme.
- AO: the design of the Respondent's scheme *"includes a provision that discourages short-term absences"*.
- Respondent's scheme was encompassed by section 9(1) of the Act and its benefits were, as a whole, more favourable to the employee than statutory sick leave.
- Claim failed.



2. National Minimum Wage – knock on effect?

- Increased from €11.30 per hour (31 December 2023) to €12.70 per hour (1 January 2024).
- This is a 12.4% increase.
- Knock-on impact?
- 5 December 2023, Minister for Enterprise, Trade and Employment Simon Coveney announced sign off on an increased package of €257 million for the Increased Cost of Business grant.



National Minimum Wage – knock on effect?

- The EU Adequacy of Statutory Minimum Wages Directive 2022/2041:
 - aims to help to achieve decent working and living conditions for employees in Europe.
 - Ireland is obliged to present an action plan to the European Commission as part of its transposition of the Directive by November 2024
- Member states with statutory minimum wages are requested to put in place a framework to set and update these minimum wages according to a set of clear criteria.

3. Pensions: preparing for auto-enrolment (AE)

- Government pushing ahead with its proposed implementation of AE.
- Still awaiting publication of the Automatic Enrolment Retirement Saving System Bill.
- Devil will be in the final detail.
- Employers will need to decide on how they will comply with its AE obligations and how they will interact with their current pension scheme/PRSA arrangements.
- Proposed target date is the second half of 2024.
- Unlikely to be a transitional or phased approach to the introduction date of AE.
- Once Bill is enacted, there will be a CIPD Pensions Webinar highlighting the key issues for consideration by employers.

4. Collective bargaining and consequences for non-union employers

- Collective bargaining currently remains voluntary.
- Code of Practice on Grievance and Disciplinary Procedures: "employee representative" includes a colleague of the employee's choice and a registered trade union but not any other person or body unconnected with the enterprise.
- Section 2(1) of the *Industrial Relations (Amendment) Act 2001 (as amended)* provides an avenue for trade unions to request the Labour Court to investigate a trade dispute in certain circumstances.

Collective bargaining and consequences for non-union employers

- Article 4 of the *EU Adequacy of Statutory Minimum Wages Directive 2022/2041* relates to the promotion of collective bargaining on wage setting.
- Requires Member States with a collective bargaining coverage rate < 80% to: *"provide for a framework of enabling conditions for collective bargaining, either by law after consulting the social partners or by agreement with them"* and to establish an *"action plan to promote collective bargaining."*
- One of the goals of the directive: to increase the number of workers who are covered by collective bargaining on wage setting.

Collective bargaining and consequences for non-union employers

- The Final Report of the Government's Labour Employer Economic Forum High Level Working Group on Collective Bargaining was published in October 2022.
- Amongst other recommendations, the Group proposes a process for Good Faith Engagement between trade unions and employers.
- No legislative proposal yet in respect of the recommendations made in the report.
- Debate over whether or not new legislation will be needed to properly transpose the EU Adequacy of Statutory Minimum Wages Directive 2022/204.



5. Protected disclosures – emerging impact

- The scope of the Protected Disclosures Act 2014 has been extended.
- Since 17 December 2023, employers with 50-249 employees have to establish internal reporting channels and procedures for the making of a protected disclosure.



6. Retirement age – a thing of the past?

- Retirement, in the context of age discrimination, has come to the fore in recent years with people living, and therefore working, for longer.
- Should you include details of the 'normal retirement age' in the employment contract?



Thomas Doolin v Eir Business Eircom Limited ADJ-00045261

- In January 2023, the Complainant was notified of his retirement on 1 July 2023. Made a formal request to work past turning 65. The request was refused.
- Appealed unsuccessfully.
- Continued working until his employment terminated on his 65th birthday.
- The Complainant brought a case under the *Employment Equality Act 1998*.



Thomas Doolin v Eir Business Eircom Limited ADJ-00045261

- Respondent argued: the retirement was objectively justified due to succession planning and the desire to maintain an age balance in the workplace. Potential bureaucratic challenges + additional costs.
- AO found: Neither their succession plans nor a potential cliff-edge scenario, as set out in their Retirement Policy, would have been affected/arisen if the Complainant was retained in his employment.
- The decision was not objectively justified on any of the grounds set out in the Respondent's retirement policy, given his specific junior role which was non-critical in nature.

BYRNE WALLACE

Thomas Doolin v Eir Business Eircom Limited ADJ-00045261

- The Respondent's evaluation of the Complainant's application to work beyond 65 highlighted: "a lack of demonstrated scrutiny regarding the compatibility of the stated legitimate aims of the Respondent in the instant case with the specific characteristics of the Complainant."
- No evidence to suggest the Respondent considered the Complainant's future job prospects and the anticipated reduction in his income when deciding to terminate his employment.
- The Complainant was re-instated to his previous role with effect from 1 July 2023.

Dermot Pisani v Transdev Dublin Light Rail Ltd ADJ-00041192

- In 2022 the Complainant, a luas driver, aged 65, was given a one year fixed term contract from the January 2022 to January 2023.
- He sought a further one year extension of employment but was informed in June 2022 that his employment would terminate in January 2023.
- The Complainant argued: the Respondent had not objectively and reasonably justified that there was a legitimate aim to terminate the employment and no other conclusion was possible other than the Complainant was discriminated against on the grounds of age.



Dermot Pisani v Transdev Dublin Light Rail Ltd ADJ-00041192

- The Respondent submitted that Luas tram drivers have a contractual retirement age of 65 years.
- Further to a collective agreement of March 2020 and the Code of Practice on Longer Working the Respondent grants Luas drivers a single one year fixed term contract after they reach retirement age, subject to a successful medical.

BYRNE WALLACE

Dermot Pisani v Transdev Dublin Light Rail Ltd ADJ-00041192

- AO considered Labour Court's decision in *Chrzanowski -v- Transdev Light Rail Ltd* EDA 1632 on whether the imposition of a retirement age was justifiable as a genuine and determining occupational requirement.
- The Labour Court found: ".....the respondent has set out reasonable grounds that objectively justify a retirement age of 65 for tram drivers (including the complainant) who are classified as safety critical employees, in the interest of the safety of drivers, passengers and the public."
- AO: Retirement age was objectively justified.

Bord Na Mona Plc v Anthony Kenny EDA2232

- The Complainant sought to remain in employment beyond his 65th birthday in April 2020. Request was rejected in December 2019. Appeal also rejected.
- A compulsory retirement age of 65 was incorporated into the Complainant's contract of employment through collective agreement and by custom and practice.
- In April 2020, significant changes made to the retirement age policy: grant of a oneyear fixed-term contract, subject to passing an occupational health assessment. The Complainant did not avail of this.
- The Complainant alleged discrimination on the grounds of age.

Bord Na Mona Plc v Anthony Kenny EDA2232

- The Respondent asserted that the retirement of the Complainant was objectively justified by legitimate aims and that a retirement age of 65 was a necessary and appropriate means of protecting the health and safety of employees in the general operative grade, who perform physically demanding work.
- The legitimate aims included:
 - maintaining employment;
 - preserving the terms and conditions of employment among other employees;
 - the provision of a sustainable business; and
 - the protection of the health and safety of employees.

BYRNE WALLACE

Bord Na Mona Plc v Anthony Kenny EDA2232

- Labour Court stated: "Objective justification for interfering with an individual's employment rights requires a proportionality assessment to balance conflicting interests that must be decided on the facts of each case."
- In the Court's view: "the collective agreement concluded between the Respondent and trade unions reflected the type of balancing exercise envisaged by the legislation in relation to retirement ages."
- The court was satisfied the Complainant was not discriminated against.

7. New Revenue Enhanced Reporting (ERR) Requirements

- ERR requirements take effect from 1 January 2024.
- Under ERR, employers will be required to notify Revenue **"on or before"** any of the following non-taxable items are provided to an employee:
 - Travel and subsistence;
 - Small benefit exemption; and
 - Remote working daily allowance.
- ERR is a real-time reporting regime.
- It is not a simple extension of PAYE Modernisation this is a distinct and separate reporting requirement and separate return of information to Revenue.



8. Employment Permits – changes

- 11 roles added to Critical Skills Occupations List (now eligible for Critical Skills Employment Permit (CSEP)).
- 33 roles removed from Ineligible Occupations List (now eligible for General Employment Permit (GEP)).
- Quotas extended for 5 GEP roles.
- Increases to remuneration thresholds for GEP and CSEP permits further increases planned.
- Effective from 17 January 2024 previously issued permits which are still valid are unaffected, but changes apply to new and renewal applications.



Changes to remuneration thresholds

Roadmap to Minimum Annual Remuneration Thresholds Adjustment





LAW FIRM



Questions?

© ByrneWallace LLP